## Case 2:12-cv-03807-ES-JAD Document 58 Filed 01/28/15 Page 1 of 3 PageID: 1707 $Ballard\ Spahr$

210 Lake Drive East, Suite 200 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-1163 TEL 856.761.3400 FAX 856.761.1020 www.ballardspahr.com William J. DeSantis Tel: 856.761.3483 Fax: 856.761.1020 desantisw@ballardspahr.com

Certified by the Supreme Court of New Jersey as a Civil Trial Attorney

January 28, 2015

By Electronic Filing

Honorable Esther Salas M.L. King Jr., Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 50 Walnut Street Newark, NJ 07102

Re:

Jemas vs. CitiMortgage, Inc. Civil Action No. 12-cv-03807

Dear Judge Salas:

In your order of December 12, 2014 (D.E. No. 57) you provided that the complaint would be dismissed without prejudice unless plaintiffs failed to file an amended complaint by January 26, 2015. Since plaintiffs have failed to do so, defendant respectfully requests that the court sign the attached order which dismisses the complaint with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

Win alesantis

William J. DeSantis

WJD/pmd Attachment

cc:

Nicholas Stratton, Esquire (w/enclosure)

Martin C. Bryce, Jr., Esquire bryce@ballardspahr.com William J. DeSantis, Esquire desantisw@ballardspahr.com BALLARD SPAHR LLP 210 Lake Drive East, Suite 200 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 Telephone: 856.761.3400

Facsimile: 856.761.1020

Attorneys for Defendant CitiMortgage, Inc.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

THEODORE JEMAS AND JOANNE	:
JEMAS, HUSBAND AND WIFE	:
,	•
Dlaintiffa	•
Plaintiffs,	<b>;</b>
	: CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-cv-03807
<b>V.</b>	;
	:
CITIMORTGAGE, INC., NATIONAL	:
MORTGAGE MITIGATION CO., ABC	•
CORP., AND JOHN DOES 1, 2, 3	:
Defendants.	

## ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court by Ballard Spahr LLP, counsel for Defendant CitiMortgage, Inc., by way of a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; and the Court having entered an order of dismissal without prejudice on December 12, 2014 (D.E. No. 57); and this order having allowed plaintiffs the opportunity to file an amended complaint on or before January 26, 2015; and it appearing that plaintiffs have not filed an amended complaint; for the reasons set forth in the Court's opinion of December 12, 2014 (D.E. No. 56), and good cause having been shown;

IT IS THIS	day of	. 2015	hereb
	 auj oi	 , 2013	TICI CO

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED; and it is further ORDERED that the Complaint is dismissed WITH PREJUDICE.

HON. ESTHER SALAS, USDJ